

1 x86 Assembly

1.1 Modular Exponentiation

Alice wants to implement her own version of **modular exponentiation**, an important primitive operation in public-key cryptography.

She was able to complete most of the subroutine in assembly language:

modexp:

```

    pushl    %r12d
    movl     %edi, %eax
    movl     %edx, %r8d
    movl     $1, %r12d
    testl    #1, #1
    je       .L1
    movl     $0, %edx
    divl     %r8d                ;; eax = eax / r8d; edx = eax % r8d
    movl     %edx, %ecx
    jmp      .L4

.L3:
    imull    %ecx, %ecx          ;; ecx = ecx * ecx
    movl     %ecx, %eax
    movl     $0, %edx
    divl     %r8d                ;; eax = eax / r8d; edx = eax % r8d
    movl     %edx, %ecx
    #2      %esi
    testl    %esi, %esi
    je       .L1

.L4:
    movl     %esi, %edi
    andl     $3, %edi
    cmpl     $1, %edi
    jne      .L3

.L2:
    movl     %r12d, %eax
    imull    %ecx, %eax          ;; eax = ecx * eax
    movl     $0, %edx
    divl     %r8d
    movl     %edx, %r12d
    jmp      .L3

.L1:
    movl     %r12d, #3
    #4
    ret

```

Alice dropped 6.110 last week, so she does not know how to implement the rest of the subroutine. The final program should be equivalent to the following C code:

```
unsigned modexp(unsigned base, unsigned exp, unsigned mod) {  
    unsigned result = 1;  
    base = base % mod;  
  
    while (exp > 0) {  
        if ((exp & 3) == 1) {  
            result = (result * base) % mod;  
        }  
        base = (base * base) % mod;  
        exp = exp >> 1;  
    }  
    return result;  
}
```

She wants her code to follow the x86 calling convention. She knows that **#1** should be a register, that **#2** should be an x86 instruction mnemonic, that **#3** should be a register, and that **#4** should be a missing instruction.

Answer.

1. %esi
2. shr1
3. %eax
4. popl %r12d

1.2 One-Time Pad

Eve is trying to reverse engineer Alice's source code. However, Eve has skipped the 6.110 lectures on code generation and has not yet watched the relecture. Help Eve by writing Decaf code that could have resulted in the following x86 code. Assume that Decaf has an XOR (^) operator which works similarly to how it works in C.

Hint. Your solution should include some global declarations.

```
.LC0:
    .string "output[%d] = %d"
key:
    .zero    64
input:
    .zero    64
output:
    .zero    64
main:
    pushq    %rbx
    movl     $0, %eax
.L2:
    movslq   %eax, %rdx
    movl     input(,%rdx,4), %ecx
    movl     key(,%rdx,4), %esi
    xorl     %esi, %ecx
    movl     %ecx, output(,%rdx,4)
    addl     $1, %eax
    cmpl     $16, %eax
    jne      .L2
    movl     $0, %ebx
.L3:
    movslq   %ebx, %rax
    movl     output(,%rax,4), %edx
    movl     %ebx, %esi
    movl     $.LC0, %edi
    movl     $0, %eax
    call     printf
    addl     $1, %ebx
    cmpl     $16, %ebx
    jne      .L3
    popq     %rbx
    ret
```

Answer. Your code does not need to match exactly, but should be close enough.

```
import printf;

int output[16];
int input[16];
int key[16];

void main() {
    int i;
    int j;
    for (i = 0; i < 16; i++) {
        output[i] = input[i] ^ key[i];
    }

    for (j = 0; j < 16; j++) {
        printf("output[%d] = %d", j, output[j]);
    }
}
```

2 Control-Flow Graphs

2.1 The Pulverizer

Bob is implementing a key exchange system that requires computing the modular inverse of an integer a modulo b . To do this, Bob writes a procedure implementing the **Extended Euclidean Algorithm** which computes,

$$a \cdot x + b \cdot y = \gcd(a, b)$$

Bob wrote the following implementation in Decaf:

```
void extended_gcd(int a, int b) {
    int x, y;
    int x0, y0, x1, y1;
    x = 0;
    y = 0;
    x0 = 1;
    y0 = 0;
    x1 = 0;
    y1 = 1;
    while (a != 0) {
        int q, t1, t2, t3;
        q = b / a;
        t1 = a;
        a = b % a;
        b = t1;
        t2 = x1;
        x1 = x0 - q * x1;
        x0 = t2;
        t3 = y1;
        y1 = y0 - q * y1;
        y0 = t3;
    }
    x = x0;
    y = y0;
    printf("x = %d; y = %d\n", x, y);
}
```

Construct the control-flow graph for Bob's `extended_gcd` procedure. Clearly indicate all basic blocks and control flow edges.

Answer.

